

ESD-TR-65-130

ESD ACCESSION LIST

ESTI Call No. AL 47838

TM-04155

Copy No. 1 of 1 cys.

ON THE STRUCTURE AND THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE
SUPPORT OF A CLASS OF DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS
INDUCED BY ERGODIC SEQUENCES

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. ESD-TR-65-130

OCTOBER 1965

H. Dym

ESD RECORD COPY

RETURN TO
SCIENTIFIC & TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
(ESTI), BUILDING 1211

Prepared for

DEPUTY FOR ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts



Project 508G

Prepared by

THE MITRE CORPORATION

Bedford, Massachusetts

Contract AF19(628)-5165

ESRC

ADD623616

Copies available at Clearing House for Federal Scientific and Technical Information (formerly Office of Technical Services).

Qualified requesters may obtain copies from DDC. Orders will be expedited if placed through the librarian or other person designated to request documents from DDC.

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related government procurement operation, the government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

ON THE STRUCTURE AND THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE
SUPPORT OF A CLASS OF DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS
INDUCED BY ERGODIC SEQUENCES

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. ESD-TR-65-130

OCTOBER 1965

H. Dym

Prepared for

DEPUTY FOR ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts



Project 508G

Prepared by

THE MITRE CORPORATION
Bedford, Massachusetts
Contract AF19(628)-5165

ABSTRACT

In this note we examine the distribution function of the random variable $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i D^{-i}$ when the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots form a stationary ergodic sequence and each X_i takes on integer values running from 0 to $D-1$. We show that the distribution function will be either a step function, or a continuous and purely singular function, or else a linear function of its argument. The form of the distribution function is related to the entropy rate of the random sequence. We discuss this relationship and also the relationship of entropy rate to the concept of Hausdorff dimension.

REVIEW AND APPROVAL

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.



CHARLES A LAUSTRUP

Lt Col, USAF

Acting Director of Computers

Deputy for Engineering and Technology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION II SOME INFORMATION THEORETIC PRELIMINARIES	3
SECTION III THE FORM OF THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION	6
SECTION IV ON THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF E	10
REFERENCES	14

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a stationary ergodic sequence of random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, A, P) and mapping into the set $\{0, 1, \dots, D-1\}$. In particular, we may envision Ω as the set of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ with $X_n(\omega) = \omega_n$ and $\omega_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, D-1\}$. To avoid trivialities we assume that $D \geq 2$ and $P(\omega: X_1(\omega)=i) > 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$.

The random variable $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i D^{-i}$ may be used to transfer the probability structure of the random sequence to the unit interval; each point $\omega \in \Omega$ is mapped by Z into a point $Z(\omega)$ satisfying $0 \leq Z(\omega) \leq 1$. In this note we study the distribution function of Z , $F(a) = P(\omega: Z(\omega) < a)$. We show (Theorem 1) that either: F is a step function with k jumps of height $1/k$, or F is continuous and purely singular, or else $F(a) = a$; $F(a) = a$ if and only if the random variables X_i are independent and uniformly distributed. Harris [5] has proved an analogous theorem using different techniques. Our method of proof utilizes Information Theory and illustrates some interesting relationships between the entropy rate, H , of the random sequence and the form of the distribution function. In particular, H is maximal; that is $H = \log D = 1$ (all logarithms will be taken to the base D), if and only if $F(a) = a$. If $0 < H < 1$ then F is purely singular and continuous. If F is a step function then $H = 0$. Presumably there exist ergodic sequences for which $H = 0$ and F is continuous and purely singular though we have not been able to construct any.

In the proof of Theorem 1 we define a set E which is in some sense the support of the distribution function F when it is regarded as a measure. Thus, if F is a step function, E consists of the points of discontinuity of F ; if $F(a) = a$ then $E = [0,1]$; if F is continuous and purely singular $\mu(E) = 0$ but $\mu(F(E)) = 1$ (μ is Lebesgue measure). We show in Section IV that the Hausdorff dimension of the set E is equal to H . This extends a theorem proved by Kinney [7] for ergodic Markov chains.

SECTION II

SOME INFORMATION THEORETIC PRELIMINARIES

We review briefly some pertinent facts from Information Theory. It will be convenient to introduce the symbol $P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ as a shorthand notation for $P(\omega: X_1(\omega) = a_1, \dots, X_n(\omega) = a_n)$ where each $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, D-1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Also, as noted earlier, all logarithms will be taken to the base D .

To the process $[P, X_n: n \geq 1]$ we associate a set of non-negative numbers.

$$H_n = - \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^* P(a_1, \dots, a_n) \log P(a_1, \dots, a_n) .$$

We mean \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^* to signify that the summation is carried out only over those n -tuples which have a positive probability of occurrence; the number of summands is clearly $\leq D^n$. The numbers H_n which are termed (n -fold) entropies satisfy the following simple inequalities:

$$(a) \quad H_1 \leq \log D = 1$$

$$H_1 = 1 \text{ if and only if } P(\omega: X_1(\omega) = j) = 1/D \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, D-1$$

$$(b) \quad H_1 \geq H_n - H_{n-1} \geq H_{n+1} - H_n \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

$$H_1 = H_n - H_{n-1} \text{ for all } n \geq 2 \text{ if and only if the random variables } X_1, X_2, \dots \text{ are independent.}$$

$$(c) \quad H_{n+1} - H_n \geq 0$$

$$H_{n+1} - H_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \neq 0 \text{ implies that } P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = P(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

These inequalities may be derived by judicious application of the following inequality which is valid for $x \geq 0$: $\log x \leq \frac{x-1}{\ln D}$;
 $\log x = \frac{x-1}{\ln D}$ if and only if $x = 1$ where $\ln = \log_e$. For purposes of illustration we sketch the proof of (b).

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{n+1} + H_{n-1} - 2 H_n &= \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}}^* P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \log \frac{P(a_1, \dots, a_n) P(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})}{P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) P(a_2, \dots, a_n)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\ln D} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}}^* P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \left[\frac{P(a_1, \dots, a_n) P(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})}{P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) P(a_2, \dots, a_n)} - 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\ln D} \left[\sum_{a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}}^* \frac{P(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1})}{P(a_2, \dots, a_n)} \sum_{a_1}^* P(a_1, \dots, a_n) - 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\ln D} \left[\sum_{a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}}^* P(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) - 1 \right] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

In order for equality to hold throughout it is necessary and sufficient that $P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) \neq 0$ imply

$$P(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) P(a_2, \dots, a_n) = P(a_1, \dots, a_n) P(a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}) \quad (n \geq 1) .$$

This is, however, a necessary and sufficient condition for the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots to be independent.

From (a), (b) and (c) we deduce that the sequence $\{(H_n - H_{n-1})\}$ is monotone non-increasing, and bounded between 0 and 1. Thus the sequence has a limit which we designate by H:

$$H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H_n - H_{n-1})$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H_n - H_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^n (H_j - H_{j-1})$$

it follows readily that

$$H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_n}{n}$$

Accordingly we term H the entropy rate of the process.

- Remarks: 1) The results of this section are valid for sequences which are stationary but not ergodic.
- 2) Observe that $0 \leq H \leq 1$; $H = 1$ if and only if the random variables X_i are both independent and uniformly distributed.

SECTION III

THE FORM OF THE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

It will be convenient to define a shift operator T on the space Ω by the rule $(T\omega)_k = \omega_{k+1}$. For a unilateral stationary sequence of random variables T is a measurable, measure preserving, non-invertible transformation.

Lemma 1

Suppose there exists a point $u \in \Omega$ such that $P(u) = \epsilon > 0$. Then $\epsilon = 1/k$ for some positive integer k , F is a step function with k jumps of height $1/k$ and $H = 0$.

Proof

Designate the characteristic function of the set $\{u\}$, by M_u . Then by the Birkhoff ergodic theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_u(T^j u) = P(u) = \epsilon > 0.$$

But $M_u(T^j u) = 0$ unless $T^j u = u$. Thus there must exist some smallest positive j , say $j = k$, such that $T^j u = u$. It follows readily that $\epsilon = 1/k$, that the distinct points in Ω with non-zero probability are $u, Tu, \dots, T^{k-1}u$, and that $P(u) = P(Tu) = \dots = P(T^{k-1}u) = 1/k$.

For $n \geq k$ $H_n = \log k$ therefore $H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_n}{n} = 0$.

Lemma 2

If $P(\omega) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ then F is continuous.

Proof

A probability measure is countably additive. Consequently $P(\omega : Z(\omega) \leq a)$ is a right continuous function of a and

$P(\omega : Z(\omega) < a)$ is a left continuous function of a . Thus
 $P(\omega : Z(\omega) \leq a) - P(\omega : Z(\omega) < a) = P(\omega : Z(\omega) = a) = 0$ since
there are at most two points in Ω which can be mapped by Z into a
and each of these has 0 probability.

Remark 3) F will be strictly monotone increasing if and only if

$P(a_1, \dots, a_n) > 0$ for every finite sequence a_1, \dots, a_n
of elements chosen from $\{0, 1, \dots, D-1\}$.

Lemma 3

The random variables X_i are independent and uniformly distributed
if and only if $H = 1$. In this case $F(a) = a$.

Proof

If the random variables X_i are independent and uniformly
distributed then $F(g) = g$ at each D -adic rational point, g . The
 D -adic rational points are dense in the unit interval and, by
Lemma 2, F is continuous. Hence $F(a) = a$ for all $0 \leq a \leq 1$. It
was observed in Remark 2 that $H = 1$ if and only if the X_i are
independent and uniformly distributed.

Theorem 1

The distribution function F is one of the following 3 types:

- (1) a step function with k jumps of height $1/k$ where k is
a positive integer,
- (2) continuous and purely singular,
- (3) $F(a) = a$. $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

F is of type (3) if and only if $H = 1$. If F is type (1) then $H = 0$.
If $H > 0$ F is continuous. (Thus if $0 < H < 1$ then F is type (2).)

Proof

It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that either F is type (1), in which case $H = 0$, or F is continuous. If F is continuous and $H = 1$ then, by Lemma 3, $F(a) = a$. It remains to show that if F is continuous and $0 \leq H < 1$, then F is purely singular. It suffices to exhibit a set E for which $\mu(E) = 0$ and $\mu(F(E)) = 1$.

Given any $x \in [0,1]$ and any $i \geq 1$ we choose the unique pair of non-negative numbers $\zeta_i = \zeta_i(x)$ and $\eta_i = \eta_i(x)$ such that $\zeta_i(x) + \eta_i(x) = D^{-i}$ and $D^i(x - \eta_i(x))$ and $D^i(x + \zeta_i(x))$ are both non-negative integers.

Consider the set

$$E = \left\{ x : \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i)]}{\log[\zeta_i + \eta_i]} = H \right\}$$

and note that for each $x \in E$ and each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number $N(x, \epsilon)$ such that if $i > N(x, \epsilon)$ then

$$D^{-i(H+\epsilon)} \leq F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i) \leq D^{-i(H-\epsilon)}$$

If $H < 1$ we can choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that $H + \epsilon < 1$.

Clearly then for each $x \in E$ and $i > N$

$$\frac{F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i)}{\zeta_i + \eta_i} \geq D^{i(1-H-\epsilon)} \rightarrow \infty$$

Since F is a bounded monotone increasing function it must have a finite derivative almost everywhere in the sense of Lebesgue.

(See McShane [9], Thm 34.2, p. 202.) Thus $\mu(E) = 0$.

For $u \in \Omega$ set $[u]_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_1 = u_1, \dots, \omega_n = u_n\}$

and let $\Omega^* = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log P([\omega]_n)}{n} = H\}$.

By the strong form of McMillan's theorem (Breiman [3] and [4])

$P(\Omega^*) = 1$. The identity

$$F(Z(\omega) + \zeta_i) - F(Z(\omega) - \eta_i) = P([\omega]_i)$$

implies that if $\omega \in \Omega^*$ then $Z(\omega) \in E$. Thus

$$\mu(F(E)) = P(\omega : Z(\omega) \in E) \geq P(\Omega^*) = 1 \quad .$$

McMillan's theorem also implies that if $H > 0$ then $P(\omega) = 0$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$. In this case then, by Lemma 2, F must be continuous.

SECTION IV
ON THE HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF E

In this section we show that the Hausdorff dimension of the set E constructed in Theorem 1 is equal to H. This is in fact an immediate consequence of a general theorem due to Billingsley [2, Thm 2.4]. We shall give a direct proof, however, establishing in the process Holder conditions on F. The techniques used are similar to those of Kinney [7] and Kinney and Pitcher [8].

Lemma 4

If $x \in E$ then for any $\alpha > 0$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [F(x+h) - F(x-h)] (2h)^{-(H+\alpha)} = \infty$$

Proof

The proof follows by observing that we can choose a positive $\delta < \alpha$ and i so large that

$$\begin{aligned} & [F(x + \zeta_i + \eta_i) - F(x - \zeta_i - \eta_i)] [2(\zeta_i + \eta_i)]^{-(H+\alpha)} \\ & \geq 2^{-(H+\alpha)} [F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i)] [\zeta_i + \eta_i]^{-(H+\alpha)} \\ & \geq 2^{-(H+\alpha)} D^{i(\alpha-\delta)} \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5

For every $\alpha > 0$ there exists a set $A \subseteq E$ such that $\mu(F(A)) = 1$ and for all $x \in A$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [F(x+h) - F(x-h)] (2h)^{-H+\alpha} = 0$$

Proof

It suffices given arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ to exhibit a set A with $\mu(F(A)) > 1 - \epsilon$ whose elements satisfy a Holder condition of the

above type. Choose a positive $\delta < \alpha$ and consider the set of points, B , for which

$$F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i) > (\zeta_i + \eta_i)^{H-\delta}$$

for infinitely many i . Cover B with a countable collection of intervals, C_λ , each of length $< 3\lambda$ and so chosen that for each $x \in B$ the interval

$$[x - 2\eta_i - \zeta_i, x + \eta_i + 2\zeta_i] \subset C_\lambda$$

where i is the smallest integer for which both $\zeta_i + \eta_i < \lambda$ and

$$F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i) > (\zeta_i + \eta_i)^{H-\delta}.$$

Since $\mu(F(B)) = 0$ we can choose λ so small that $\mu(F(C_\lambda)) < \epsilon$.

Set $A = E - C_\lambda$. Clearly $\mu(F(A)) > 1 - \epsilon$. For each $x \in A$ we can choose an integer t so large that $\zeta_t + \eta_t < \lambda$ and for all integers $m \geq t$

$$F(x + \zeta_m) - F(x - \eta_m) < (\zeta_m + \eta_m)^{H-\delta}.$$

This implies that $B \cap [x - \zeta_t - 2\eta_t, x + 2\zeta_t + \eta_t] = \emptyset$ and

further that there exists an integer $g \geq t$ such that for all $s \geq g$

$$F(x + 2\zeta_s + \eta_s) - F(x - \zeta_s - 2\eta_s) \leq 3(\zeta_s + \eta_s)^{H-\delta}.$$

The desired Holder condition for such points x follows easily since $\delta < \alpha$.

Remark 4) Let $\{\alpha_j\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers which decrease monotonically to zero. Let A_j be the set of $x \in E$ for which $F(x+h) - F(x-h) < (2h)^{H-\alpha_j}$ for all sufficiently small positive h . By Lemma 5, $\mu(F(A_j)) = 1$. Clearly the sequence of sets A_j decrease monotonically to a set A with $\mu(F(A)) = 1$ and if $x \in A$ then $F(x+h) - F(x-h) < (2h)^{H-\gamma}$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and all sufficiently small $h > 0$.

We now recall some definitions. The γ dimensional Hausdorff measure of a set $A \subset [0,1]$ is defined by $\Gamma(\gamma; A) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_j \mu(I_j)^\gamma$ where the \liminf is taken over all countable sets of intervals $\{I_j\}$ such that $\bigcup_j I_j \supset A$ and $\mu(I_j) < \delta$. The Hausdorff dimension of the set A , $\beta(A)$, is the number with the property that for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\Gamma[\beta(A) - \epsilon, A] = \infty \quad \Gamma[\beta(A) + \epsilon, A] = 0.$$

We now prove

Theorem 2

If $E^* \subset E$ and $\mu(F(E^*)) > 0$ then $\beta(E^*) = H$.

Proof

Given any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ there exists for each $x \in E^*$ a smallest $i \geq n$ such that

$$F(x + \zeta_i) - F(x - \eta_i) > [\zeta_i + \eta_i]^{H+\epsilon}.$$

We can thus construct a countable set of mutually disjoint intervals $\{I_i^{(n)}\}$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$ each of length $\leq D^{-n}$ and so chosen that

$$\bigcup_i I_i^{(n)} \supset E^* \text{ and } \mu(F(I_i^{(n)})) \geq \mu(I_i)^{H+\epsilon}.$$

Thus

$$1 \geq \mu(F(\cup_i I_i^{(n)})) \geq \sum_i (\mu(I_i^{(n)}))^{H+\epsilon}.$$

Hence

$$\Gamma(H+\epsilon, E^*) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(E^*) \leq H.$$

To prove the inequality in the other direction fix $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $h_0 > 0$ and a set $A(h_0) \subset E^*$ so that $\mu(F(A(h_0))) \geq \delta > 0$

and for each $x \in A(h_0)$ and every $h \leq h_0$ $F(x+h) - F(x-h) \leq (2h)^{H-\epsilon}$.

Now cover $A(h_0)$ with a set of intervals I_i , each chosen with length $< h_0$. We can assume that each interval I_i contains at least one point, $x_i \in A(h_0)$. Let J_i be the smallest interval symmetric about x_i which contains I_i . Then $\mu(J_i) \leq 2\mu(I_i)$ and correspondingly

$$\sum (2\mu(I_i))^{H-\epsilon} \geq \sum (\mu(J_i))^{H-\epsilon} \geq \sum \mu(F(J_i)) \geq \mu(F(A(h_0))) \geq \delta.$$

Since the chosen covering of $A(h_0)$ was arbitrary, aside from the fact that the length of each interval was constrained to be smaller than h_0 , $\Gamma(H-\epsilon, A(h_0)) \geq \delta$. Hence $\beta(A(h_0)) \geq H$.

But $E^* \supset A(h_0)$ thus $\beta(E^*) \geq H$.

Remark 5) The proof as presented is valid for $0 \leq H \leq 1$. The effort expended is, however, only really needed for distribution functions of the second type. For if F is type (1) the set E consists of the k jump points and if F is type (3) $E = [0,1]$. The Hausdorff dimension of a finite or even Countable set of points is 0; whereas the Hausdorff dimension of a bounded set of positive Lebesgue measure is 1.

REFERENCES

1. P. Billingsley, "Hausdorff Dimension in Probability Theory," Illinois J. Math., Vol. 4 (1960), pp. 187-209.
2. P. Billingsley, "Hausdorff Dimension in Probability Theory II," Ibid, Vol. 5 (1961), pp. 291-298.
3. L. Breiman, "The Individual Ergodic Theorem of Information Theory," The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 28 (1957), pp. 809-811.
4. L. Breiman, "Correction to the Individual Ergodic Theorem of Information Theory," The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 31 (1960), pp. 809-810.
5. T. E. Harris, "On Chains of Infinite Order," Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 5 (1955), pp. 707-724.
6. P. Hartman and R. Kershner, "The Structure of Monotone Functions," American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 59 (1937), pp. 809-822.
7. J. R. Kinney, "Singular Functions Associated with Markov Chains," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 9 (1958), pp. 603-608.
8. J. R. Kinney and T. S. Pitcher, "The Dimension of the Support of a Random Distribution Function," Bulletin A.M.S., Vol. 70 (1964), pp. 161-164.
9. E. J. McShane, "Integration," Princeton University Press, (1944).

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) The MITRE Corporation Bedford, Mass.		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE On the Structure and the Hausdorff Dimension of the Support of a Class of Distribution Functions Induced by Ergodic Sequences			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) N/A			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Dym, Harry			
6. REPORT DATE October 1965	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 18	7b. NO. OF REFS 9	
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AF19(628)-5165 b. PROJECT NO. 508G c. d.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) ESD-TR-65-130 9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) TM-04155	
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Qualified requestors may obtain from DDC. DDC release to CFSTI authorized.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Deputy for Engineering and Technology Electronic Systems Division L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Mass.	
13. ABSTRACT In this note we examine the distribution function of the random variable $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i D^{-i}$ when the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots form a stationary ergodic sequence and each X_i takes on integer values running from 0 to $D-1$. We show that the distribution function will be either a step function, or a continuous and purely singular function, or else a linear function of its argument. The form of the distribution function is related to the entropy rate of the random sequence. We discuss this relationship and also the relationship of entropy rate to the concept of Hausdorff dimension.			

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64Unclassified
Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Measure Theory Ergodic Theory Statistical Functions Distribution Functions Hausdorff Dimension						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.

